

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313;1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/021,596	12/12/2001	Harald Bauer	2000DE460	3332
25255	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
CLARIANT CORPORATION			BOYER, CHARLES I	
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY DEP.	ARTMENT		<u></u>
4000 MONR	OE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTT	E, NC 28205		1751	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

٠,					11.			
	٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)	YV			
			10/021,596	BAUER ET AL.				
Offic	Offic	Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
			Charles I Boyer	1751				
Period fo		LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING I nsions of time SIX (6) MONT period for repi period for repure to reply with reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period win the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication () (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 D</u>	<u> December 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This acti	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	closed in	is application is in condition for allowan accordance with the practice under $t$			s			
·	ion of Cla	•						
•		<u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
	•	above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.							
7)□		is/are objected to.	alostica requirement					
8)∐ Applicati	ِ (ion Paper	are subject to restriction and/or s	election requirement.					
	-	ication is objected to by the Examiner	<b>.</b> .	•				
-	•	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)⊡ accep		miner.				
		may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🗌	The propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approve	ed, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12) 🗌	The oath o	r declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	□ All b)[	] Some * c)⊠ None of:						
	1.⊠ Cer	tified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Cer	tified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
		pies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
		ached detailed Office action for a list o	•		>			
•		gment is made of a claim for domestic			νη).			
15) <u> </u>	Acknowled	anslation of the foreign language prov gment is made of a claim for domestic	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Attachmen	* *							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Detect and T	mdamad Off							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/021596

Art Unit: 1751

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claim 13, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 12, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
  - (e) the invention was described in-
  - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under

Application/Control Number: 10/021596 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Before citing the references against the present claims, the examiner would like to state for the record that due to the inordinate breadth of the present claims, requiring only a composition containing silicate containing particles, the examiner maintains that a thorough search is impossible. These silicates are extremely common in the detergent arts for use as thickeners, suspending agents, and structurants. The examiner estimates there are hundreds of references that would anticipate at least claim 1 of the present application. The examiner has taken into consideration the present invention as a whole, in order to identify the closest prior art, which art is cited below. Applicants should be aware however, that there are many other references that could have been cited against the present invention. Any response from applicants to the references cited below that does not also address the fact that their claims are extremely broadly written, together with a clear statement of what applicants consider to be the novelty of their invention, would likely not be successful in rendering those claims allowable.

3. Claims 1-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ofosu-Asante et al, US 6,287,346.

Ofosu-Asante et al teach disinfecting laundry detergents (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises 19% surfactant, 2% magnesium silicate (Laponite RD, which is a

Art Unit: 1751

preferred silicate of the present invention), 1% potassium bicarbonate, 15% alkyl pyrrolidone, and

the balance water (col. 17, example III). As this reference meets all material limitations of the

claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

4. Claims 1-4 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weibel,

US 5,821,214.

Weibel teaches hard surface scouring cleansers (see abstract). An example of such a

composition comprises 2% surfactant, 1.5% magnesium silicate (Laponite RD, which is a

preferred silicate of the present invention), 0.11% potassium silicate, and the balance water

(col. 7, example 1). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the

reference is anticipatory.

5. Claims 1-4 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pruhs et

al, US 4,511,487.

Pruhs et al teach a detergent paste for use in dishwashers (see abstract). An example of

such a composition comprises 22% sodium tripolyphosphate, 31% sodium metasilicate, 3%

magnesium silicate (Laponite RD, which is a preferred silicate of the present invention), 10%

bleach liquor, and 34% water (col. 6, example 1). As this reference meets all material limitations

of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson, 6. US 4,124,523.

Page 5

Johnson teaches hard surface scouring cleansers (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises 4.9% polydimethylsiloxane fluid, 0.3% quaternary ammonium salt, 0.9% surfactant, 4.9% colloidal silicate, and the balance water (col. 7, example 1). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art is cumulative to the references cited above.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 10/021596

Art Unit: 1751

Charles Boyer

October 16, 2003